JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

Dana Lupo 203 Chelsea Road Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS Premier Urgent Care Lansdale, LLC 1551 S. Valley Forde Road, Suite 3 Lansdale, PA 19446 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Graham F. Baird, Esq., Law Office of Eric A. Shore 2 Penn Center, 1500 JFK Blvd, Suite 1240, Philadelphia, PA 1910				THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	II. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box (for Plaintit
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		84	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr	and One Box for incipal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	tip of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and F of Business In A		□ 5	0 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	13 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
CONTRACT	NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					here for: Nature o			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 46dical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 536 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	62: 690 690 710 720 740 791 791	DEFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe: 423 Withe 28 US PROPER 820 Copyr 830 Patent 840 Trade SOCIAL 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIWC 864 SSID 865 RSI (44) FEDERA 870 Taxes 871 IRS 26 US	KRUPTCY al 28 USC 158 Irawal SC 157 CTY RIGHTS rights t- Abbreviated Orug Application mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	375 False Cla 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 400 State Rei 410 Antitrust 430 Banks an 450 Commer 460 Deportati 470 Racketee Corrupt (aims Act (31 USC) (31 USC) (31 USC) (41 USC) (52 USC) (53 USC) (64 USC) (65 USC) (65 USC) (75 USC) (76 USC) (77	ment g med and ons dities/ stions ters aution ceedure
	noved from	Appellate Court	Reinst Reope	ened Another	r District	☐ 6 Multidistric Litigation - Transfer		Multidistr Litigation Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		use:	iling <i>(Da</i>	o not cite jurisdictional statu	utes unless dive	ersity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				MAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 150,000.00 JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes □ No					
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				NUMBER	7, 100	2.10	
DATE 3/17/18		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF	RECORD					
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE									

Case 5:18-cv-01045-JFL Document 1 Filed 03/12/18 Page 2 of 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
267-546-0131	215-944-6124	grahamb@enishor	C.Cov			
3/12/18 Date	Graham F. Baird Attorney-at-law	Dana Lupo Attorney for				
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do not fall into any o	ne of the other tracks.	(V)			
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special of de of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by	()			
exposure to aspestos.	ng claims for personal injury or		()			
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(b) Social Security – Cases r and Human Services den	equesting review of a decision group plaintiff Social Security B	of the Secretary of Health enefits.	()			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAGE	EMENT TRACKS:				
filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	to Management Track Designate a copy on all defendants. (See went that a defendant does not hall, with its first appearance is	eduction Plan of this court, counstion Form in all civil cases at the tis \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding them is to the clerk of court and set a Designation Form specifying the d.	ime of everse g said			
		NO.				
Dana Leipi v. Premier urgent Care	; ; ;	CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 5:18-cv-01045-JFL Document 1 Filed 03/12/18 Page 3 of 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

 $FOR\ THE\ EASTERN\ DISTRICT\ OF\ PENNSYLVANIA -- DESIGNATION\ FORM\ to\ be\ used\ by\ counsel\ to\ indicate\ the\ category\ of\ the\ case\ for\ the\ purpose\ of\ assignment\ to\ appropriate\ calendar.$

Address of Plaintiff: 203 Chelsea Road, Plymouth	meeting, PA 19462				
Address of Defendant: 1551 S Valley Forge Rigd, Swi	te 3: Lansdale PA 19446				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 155-1 S Valley Forge (Use Reverse Side For	Rd Suit 3 Landale PA 1944				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any sublish hald are suit to the control of the				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes No No				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?				
	Vos II No IV				
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated				
	Yes No No				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously				
terminated action in this court?	Yes No P				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	its case filed by the same individual?				
	Yes□ No⊡				
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Director and the second				
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7. Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability				
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos				
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases					
(Please specify)					
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION				
I. Graham F. Bard , counsel of record do hereby certif	ategory)				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	and desired the state of this even action case exceed the sum of				
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	,/\				
DATE: 3/12/18	92692				
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.	(A)				
DATE: 3/12/18	1/ 02/02				
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· Attoricy I.D.#				

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DANA LUPO 203 Chelsea Road Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462 JURY DEMANDED Plaintiff, v. No. PREMIER URGENT CARE LANSDALE, LLC 1551 S. Valley Forge Road, Suite 3 Lansdale, PA 19446 And PREMIER IMMEDIATE MEDICAL CARE, LLC 1139 W. Ben Franklin Highway Douglassville, PA 19518 Defendant

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, DANA LUPO (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- 2. Defendant, PREMIER URGENT CARE LANSDALE, LLC ("Premier") is a business corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a business address as captioned above.
- Defendant, PREMIER IMMEDIATE MEDICAL CARE, LLC ("PIMC") is a business corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a business address as captioned above.

- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendants Premier and PIMC, collectively referred to as "Defendants" employed Plaintiff at their South Valley Forge Road address as set forth above and qualified as Plaintiff's employers under the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA").
- 5. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. (See Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of a dismissal and notice of rights issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.)
- 6. This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and applicable federal and state law.
 - 7. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 8. Supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendants conduct business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working for Defendants in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania at the time of the illegal actions by Defendants as set forth herein.

II. Operative Facts.

10. On or about June 1, 2015, Plaintiff was hired by Defendants as a medical assistant.

- 11. In June of 2017, Plaintiff was diagnosed with a tumor on her left kidney, requiring surgical removal.
- 12. Plaintiff's surgeon, Dr. Steven Sterious, scheduled surgery for June 22, 2017, and advised Plaintiff that the surgery would require her to be out of work approximately four (4) to six (6) weeks while she recovered.
- 13. Plaintiff contacted Defendants' Human Resources representative, Sandee Burns, and informed her of the scheduled surgery and her need for leave from work to recover.
- 14. Ms. Burns indicated that Plaintiff's request for leave was approved, and instructed Plaintiff to provide a doctor's note clearing her to work upon her return.
- 15. At no time was Plaintiff offered any information regarding FMLA or any other type of leave.
 - 16. Plaintiff's surgery proceeded as scheduled on June 22, 2017.
- 17. Dr. Sterious cleared Plaintiff to return to work without restriction on July 20,2017 and provided Plaintiff a physician's certification to that effect.
- 18. Plaintiff provided this certification via facsimile to Ms. Burns on July 18, 2017, and returned to work as scheduled on July 20, 2017.
- 19. Upon her return to work on July 20, 2017, Plaintiff received an email from her aunt, who is a medical job recruiter, about a temporary nine (9) week nursing position with flexible hours, and asked Plaintiff to see if there were any nurses who were interested in extra income.
 - 20. Plaintiff forwarded this email to the nurses employed with Defendant.
- 21. A few hours following the sending of this email, Plaintiff was contacted by Ms. Burns.

- 22. Ms. Burns told Plaintiff that she was being terminated because her email was "deceitful", a "conflict of interest", and that she was attempting to help another company "steal nurses".
- 23. Plaintiff attempted to explain that the job posting was a temporary position with flexible hours that was meant as supplementary income and would not infringe on the hours the nurses were already working, however, Ms. Burns would not listen.
- 24. Plaintiff was never given a handbook, nor was she shown anything in the handbook that described her conduct as a violation of any of Defendant's policies.
- 25. Plaintiff was never told that working for another healthcare company while employed with Defendants was a problem or otherwise a violation of policy.
- 26. Upon information and belief, several of the nurses employed with Defendant split their time between Defendants and other healthcare companies, which Defendants were aware of and never objected.
- 27. At all times material hereto, Defendants were hostile toward Plaintiff's disability and need to take time off from work.
 - 28. Defendants' purported reason for terminating Plaintiff was pretextual in nature.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, lost wages, as well as emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as described below.

III. Causes of Action.

COUNT I – TITLE I CLAIM--AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq)

30. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-29 as if fully set forth at length herein.

- 31. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 32. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.,
- 33. Defendants are an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq,.
- 34. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 35. Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 36. Defendants failed to engage in a meaningful back and forth discussion after Plaintiff requests an accommodation for her disability.
 - 37. Defendants failed to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 38. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, lost benefits, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 39. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.

40. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT II – VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT (43 P.S. § 955)

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-40 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 42. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 43. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 44. Defendants are an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 45. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above. Plaintiff's disability profoundly interfered with her day to day life activities.
- 46. Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
 - 47. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 48. Defendants failed to engage in a meaningful back and forth discussion in an effort to accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 49. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, as well as personal injury, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work

loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.

- 50. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 51. Pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT III – VIOLATION OF FMLA (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-51 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 53. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq., Defendants qualified as Plaintiff's "employer."
 - 54. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was entitled to FMLA leave.
- 55. Defendants failed to provide particularized notice to Plaintiff advising her of her right to FMLA benefits.
- 56. As set forth above, Defendants failed to allow Plaintiff to make informed decisions about leave and to plan her medical absence in a way to ensure protection under FMLA.
- 57. After informing Defendants of the need to take medical leave, Plaintiff was terminated for pretextual reasons. Defendants retaliated against the Plaintiff.
- 58. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff

has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning

power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.

59. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby

demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.

60. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq

Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

IV. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Dana Lupo demands judgment in her favor and against

Defendants, Premier Urgent Care Lansdale, LLC and Premier Immediate Medical Care, LLC in

an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with:

A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: back pay, front pay, past lost

wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost

benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional

distress, pain and suffering

B. Punitive damages;

C. Attorneys fees and costs of suit:

D. Interest, delay damages; and,

E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE

Two Penn Center

1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240

Philadelphia, PA 19110

Attorney for Plaintiff, Dana Lupo

Date: 3 12 18

EXH. A

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS To: Dana Lupo Philadelphia District Office 203 Chelsea Rd 801 Market Street Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462 **Suite 1300** Philadelphia, PA 19107 On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a)) EEOC Charge No. **EEOC Representative** Telephone No. Legal Unit, 530-2018-00114 Legal Technician (215) 440-2828 THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC. Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act. The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes. Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge. The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge. Other (briefly state) - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -(See the additional information attached to this form.) Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.) Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. On behalf of the Commission Enclosures(s) Kevin J. Berry, (Date Mailed)

Acting District Director

CC:

PREMIER IMMEDIATE MEDICAL CARE

Graham Baird, Esq. (for Charging Party) Sandee Burss, Director of Human Resources

(for Respondent)